RFP Assessment



<<Jan 2006>>

SWAN Program Office

Department of Information Technology

RFP Fact Sheet

| S. No | Description | Details | Observations |
|-------|---|---------|--------------|
| 1 | a. State Consultant | | |
| | b. RFP Prepared By | | |
| | (in case consultant has not been appointed) | | |
| 2 | Project : Extension/New | | |
| 3 | Model : NIC/BOO/BOOT/SOM | | |
| 4 | Network Topology (in term of tiers) | | |
| 5 | Total Number of PoP's | | |
| | a. State HQ | | |
| | b. District HQ | | |
| | c. Block HQ | | |
| 6 | Horizontal connection considered | | |
| | a. State HQ | | |
| | b. District HQ | | |
| | c. Block/ Taluka HQ | | |
| 7 | Wireless | | |
| | a. State HQ – District HQ | | |
| | b. District HQ – Block HQ | | |
| | c. Block HQ - Rural area | | |
| 8 | a. Site Preparation responsibility State/Network Operator | | |
| | b. Site Roadmap/Status | | |
| 9 | Responsibility Matrix/Description Present | | |
| 10 | Contract Award Creation : QCBS/L1 | | |

RFP Assessment

| S. No | Description | Details | Observations |
|-------|---|---------|--------------|
| 11 | Implementation Schedule defined | | |
| 12 | Acceptance Procedure Defined | | |
| 13 | SLA defined | | |
| 14 | Exit Management | | |
| 15 | Clearly Distinct QGR(with respect to central & state funding) defined | | |
| 16 | Bandwidth Service Provider | | |
| 17 | Broad Conformance to Approved Proposal | | |
| 18 | Scalability | | |

State: <<Name>>

| S.No. | Parameter | RFP Reference (Page / Section Number) | Adherence (Yes/No) | Program Office Observations | Response from State | Program Office Observations Post-response |
|---------|---|---|-----------------------|--------------------------------|---------------------|---|
| Objecti | ves & Scope | | | | | |
| 1. | The RFP articulates Vision & objectives of SWAN. | | | | | |
| 2. | The RFP details the expected services to be provided by SWAN. | | | | | |
| 3. | The roles and responsibilities for the vendor, State implementation nodal agency, State government and third party monitoring agency are provided in the RFP. | | | | | |
| 4. | The list of PoP's for SWAN have been identified and included in the RFP. | | | | | |
| SWAN | SWAN Architecture & Standards | | | | | |
| 5. | The RFP includes the proposed Network Architecture for SWAN. | | | | | |

| S.No. | Parameter | RFP Reference (Page / Section Number) | Adherence (Yes/No) | Program Office Observations | Response from State | Program Office Observations Post-response |
|-------|--|---|-----------------------|--------------------------------|---------------------|---|
| 6. | Network should be capable of carrying Data, Voice and Video traffic in an integrated manner. | | | | | |
| 7. | Whether VoIP and Video Conferencing are available for all the PoP's. | | | | | |
| 8. | The bandwidth requirements of the SWAN are provided in the RFP. | | | | | |
| 9. | The proposed network architecture and BoM details the scalability requirements and are accommodated in the Architecture & BoM. | | | | | |
| 10. | Whether the SWAN architecture has included backup mechanisms for addressing high availability requirements. | | | | | |
| 11. | Whether SWAN architecture provided in the RFP has provisioned a gateway to the national network | | | | | |

| S.No. | Parameter | RFP Reference (Page / Section Number) | Adherence (Yes/No) | Program Office Observations | Response from State | Program Office Observations Post-response |
|---------|---|---|-----------------------|--------------------------------|---------------------|---|
| | backbone (NICNET) in accordance with DIT Guidelines. | | | | | |
| 12. | Whether RFP facilitates flexibility for the vendors to propose alternative solutions (other than BOM proposed in RFP) inline with the standards, guidelines provided in the RFP and to meet SWAN objectives and SLA's | | | | | |
| Wireles | s Requirements | | | | | |
| 13. | Whether Wireless Base stations have been proposed at the block level for the last mile connectivity. | | | | | |
| Adhere | nce to Guidelines | | | | | |
| 14. | Network architecture proposed is capable of carrying 2Mbps capacity between state, District/Sub-division Hqrs and block level | | | | | |
| 15. | The RFP addresses the broad requirements of "RFP TOC Template" | | | | | |

| S.No. | Parameter | RFP Reference (Page / Section Number) | Adherence (Yes/No) | Program Office Observations | Response from State | Program Office Observations Post-response |
|----------|---|---|-----------------------|--------------------------------|---------------------|---|
| 16. | RFP is inline with the proposal approved by EC. | | | | | |
| Interope | erability & Security Guide | elines | | | | |
| 17. | RFP has a reference to the Security Guidelines issued by DIT/NIC and the scope of Work for the vendor & solution proposed for SWAN requires compliance with such guidelines. | | | | | |
| 18. | RFP has a reference to the Interoprability Guidelines issued by DIT/NIC and the scope of Work for the vendor & solution proposed for SWAN requires compliance with such guidelines. | | | | | |
| Implem | entation Schedule & Acc | eptance Test | | | | |
| 19. | RFP includes the implementation schedule for SWAN. | | | | | |
| 20. | Acceptance Tests Procedures and requirements are included in the RFP. | | | | | |

| S.No. | Parameter | RFP Reference (Page / Section Number) | Adherence (Yes/No) | Program Office Observations | Response from State | Program Office Observations Post-response |
|---------|--|---|-----------------------|--------------------------------|---------------------|---|
| SLA's | | | | | | |
| 21. | Whether the expected Service Level Indicators for implementation and maintenance of SWAN have been provided. | | | | | |
| 22. | Whether provision has been made for SWAN SLA monitoring through an independent agency. | | | | | |
| 23. | Whether the network monitoring & management solution is included to facilitate SWAN monitoring through a third party agency. | | | | | |
| 24. | Whether prospective SWAN Operator would sign SLA with state nodal agency for a period of 5 years. | | | | | |
| Busines | Business Model & Price Schedule | | | | | |
| 25. | Business model (BOO/BOOT/NIC) for implementation of the SWAN with the payment schedule is | | | | | |

| S.No. | Parameter | RFP Reference (Page / Section Number) | Adherence (Yes/No) | Program Office Observations | Response from State | Program Office Observations Post-response |
|---------|--|---|-----------------------|--------------------------------|---------------------|---|
| | provided in the RFP. | | | | | |
| 26. | Clearly Distinct QGR (with respect to Central & State Funding) is defined and costs for individual line items in the BoM and required services have been asked for in RFP. | | | | | |
| RFP Eva | aluation Criteria | | | | | |
| 27. | The reasonableness and clarity in Pre-Qualification criteria and eligibility requirements of the vendor. | | | | | |
| 28. | The RFP clearly articulates the Evaluation Process for vendor selection. | | | | | |
| 29. | RFP details the expectations related to skill sets/experience/ certification of the team proposed for implementation of SWAN. | | | | | |
| Exit Ma | nagement | | | | | |

| S.No. | Parameter | RFP Reference (Page / Section Number) | Adherence (Yes/No) | Program Office Observations | Response from State | Program Office Observations Post-response |
|---------|--|---|-----------------------|--------------------------------|---------------------|---|
| 30. | Whether the RFP highlights the overall contract Period (five years) and exit management process. | | | | | |
| Standar | d Clauses | | | | | |
| 31. | The General Terms and conditions contain all the requisite clauses etc. | | | | | |
| 32. | EMD Requirement and format are provided in the RFP. | | | | | |
| 33. | PBG Requirement and format are provided in the RFP. | | | | | |
| 34. | The required technical and commercial bid formats are provided in the RFP. | | | | | |

| S.No. | Other Observations |
|-------|--------------------|
| 1. | |
| 2. | |
| 3. | |